

**Peer Reviewer Name and Credentials:**

**Date Sent to PR for Review: Review Due Date:**

**Focus area of article: Clinical: Research: X Education/Policy/NP Practice:**

**Article Identification Number:**

**Article Title/Topic:**

### **Content Questions**

*Answer yes or no following each question and provide additional information as needed:*

1. Is the content relevant/appropriate for our APN readership?
2. Is the purpose of the manuscript clearly stated?
3. Is the information accurate and complete?
4. Is any important information missing? If so, what should the author include?
5. Are any key references missing? If so, which references should be cited?
6. Does the information flow in a logical fashion? If not, please suggest an alternative organization plan.
7. Do the images (e.g., tables, figures) embellish the content?
8. If images are lacking, which ones should be added?
9. Does the author explain or show how this information will benefit WHNPs and other APNs caring for female patients?
10. Does the author cite appropriate and current practice guidelines and research?
11. Does this manuscript add to current knowledge?

### **Research Questions**

Is the study quantitative, qualitative, or a systematic review? (Underline one.)

*Answer yes or no following each question.*

1. Are the study findings useful for APNs?
2. Does the study contribute to APN knowledge?
3. Does the study address these items correctly (if applicable)?
  - a. Statement of problem?
  - b. Research questions or hypothesis?
  - c. Operational definitions of concepts/variables?
  - d. Assumptions and limitations?
  - e. Adequate literature review?
  - f. Logical, applicable, and current references?
  - g. Logical theoretical framework?
  - h. Explanation of methodology?

- i. Appropriate data collection technique for study design?
- j. Appropriate sample size?
- k. Appropriate description of sample?
- l. Appropriate human rights review/IRB review/informed consent?
- m. Appropriate analytic method for study design?
- n. Reportage of results clearly based on findings?
- o. Tables/graphs correctly titled, labeled, and reported?
- p. Findings clearly organized?
- q. Findings presented impartially and in an unbiased fashion?
- r. Conclusions/generalizations appropriate?
- s. Recommendations for further research provided?

## Overall Assessment

*Please place an X after the statement that best sums up your impression of this manuscript:*

- Acceptable in its current format: \_\_\_\_
- Acceptable with minor revision (add general comments/suggestions below): \_\_\_\_
- Needs major revision (add general comments/suggestions below); will review revised manuscript to determine suitability for publication: \_\_\_\_
- Not suitable for publication in **Women's Healthcare**: \_\_\_\_

## Comments/Suggestions